Qase 1:24-cv-01067-KES-CDB Document 17 Filed 03/10/25 Page 2 of 3

issued summonses for service upon Defendants, and the Court entered an order setting a mandatory scheduling conference for March 13, 2025. (Docs. 14-16). The Court's order directed Plaintiffs to "diligently pursue service of summons and complaint" and "promptly file proofs of service." (Doc. 16 at 1). The order further advised Plaintiffs that failure to diligently prosecute this action "may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants." *Id.* To date, Plaintiffs have not filed proofs of service nor any report setting forth an explanation for the failure, and no Defendant has appeared in the action.

Local Rule 110 provides that "[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions...within the inherent power of the Court." The Court has the inherent power to control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate, including dismissal of the action. *Bautista v. Los Angeles Cnty.*, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000).

In addition, Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides: "If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court - on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff - must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Absent a showing of good cause, failure to comply with Rule 4(m) requires dismissal of any unserved defendant.

Here, the deadline to serve defendants expires tomorrow (March 11, 2025). Absent a showing of good cause, the Court is required to dismiss unserved defendants pursuant to Rule 4(m).

Conclusion and Order

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within three (3) days of entry of this order, Plaintiffs SHALL show cause in writing why sanctions should not be imposed – including dismissal of any unserved Defendant or this action in its entirety – for Plaintiffs' failure to prosecute, failure to serve the summonses and complaint in a timely manner, and failure to promptly file proof of service. Filing summonses returned executed following entry of this order WILL NOT relieve Plaintiffs of their obligation to respond to this order in writing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the scheduling conference previously set for March 13,

2025, is CONTINUED to April 16, 2025, at 9:00 A.M. And IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall serve a copy of this order on Defendants within three (3) days of entry of this order and promptly file proof of service thereof. Any failure by Plaintiffs to timely respond to this order to show cause will result in the imposition of sanctions, including a recommendation to dismiss this action. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 10, 2025

dase 1:24-cv-01067-KES-CDB Document 17 Filed 03/10/25 Page 3 of 3